

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,782	10/03/2003	Richard R. Roesler	PO-7926/MD-99-44	3887
157 7590	12/22/2004		EXAMINER	
BAYER MATERIA	AL SCIENCE L	TRUONG, DUC		
100 BAYER ROAD				
PITTSBURGH, PA 15205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1711	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-			
Office Action Summary		10/678,782	ROESLER ET AL.	R)			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Duc Truong	1711				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ety filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	r. Immunication.			
Status	•	•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 No	ovember 2004.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		X.				
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	· · · · · -						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •		Otano.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	- -	u III uus Nauonai	Stage			
* 5	see the attached detailed Office action for a list		d				
	the attached detailed emos determined a liex	or the continue copies her receive	u .				
Attachmen	t(s)	_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P)-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/678,782

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments filed 11/24/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The response submitted by Applicant does not overcome the rejection made by Examiner in the last Office action.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wicks'012 or Zwienner'741 or '170.

The rejection is maintained for the reasons as stated in the last Office action and for the following reasons:

Note that the aspartate of claimed formula is derived from the process of claim 2.

Note that the references do disclose the required reactants and the process conditions in the claimed step A.(see last Office action).

Applicant's arguments are based on the claimed step B in that the polyhydroxy compounds of the references are used to make isocyanate group containing prepolymers with polyisocyanates.

Said arguments are incorrect since the references disclose "polyether polyols are obtained by the alkoxylation of suitable starting materials and are suitable for the preparation of the isocyanate group containing prepolymers and semi-prepolymers. Examples of suitable starting molecules for the polyether polyols include monomeric polyols, water, organic amines having at least two NH bonds and mixtures of these starting molecules. Ethylene oxide and propylene oxide are suitable alkylene oxides for the alkoxylation reaction. These alkylene oxides may be introduced into the alkoxylation

Art Unit: 1711

reaction in any sequence or as a mixture (see col. 4, lines 29-41 of '012; col. 3, line 60 onto col. 4, line 4 of '741; col. 3, lines 53-65 of '170).

It's clear to see from the references that the alkylene oxides are used for starting materials other than isocyanate group containing prepolymers and can react with a mixture in any sequence such as after the reaction of the claimed step A. Therefore, the aspartate of the claimed formula must be considered inherent in the prior art with the claimed definitions of each variation.

Applicant's arguments are based on the characteristics of the variations in the claimed formula. Said arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons as stated above.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,782

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-1081. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER